Appendix B: ORM Economic Review Form

Office of Regulatory Management

Economic Review Form

Agency name	Treasury Board
Virginia Administrative	1 VAC75-20
Code (VAC) Chapter	
citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Virginia Security for Public Deposits Act Regulations
Action title	Amend Virginia Security for Public Deposits Act Regulations After Periodic Review
Date this document	March 17, 2023
prepared	
Regulatory Stage	Standard Review Stage 3 Final
(including Issuance of	
Guidance Documents)	

Cost Benefit Analysis

Complete Tables 1a and 1b for all regulatory actions. You do not need to complete Table 1c if the regulatory action is required by state statute or federal statute or regulation and leaves no discretion in its implementation.

Table 1a should provide analysis for the regulatory approach you are taking. Table 1b should provide analysis for the approach of leaving the current regulations intact (i.e., no further change is implemented). Table 1c should provide analysis for at least one alternative approach. You should not limit yourself to one alternative, however, and can add additional charts as needed.

Report both direct and indirect costs and benefits that can be monetized in Boxes 1 and 2. Report direct and indirect costs and benefits that cannot be monetized in Box 4. See the ORM Regulatory Economic Analysis Manual for additional guidance.

Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

(1) Direct &	A. Collateral changes: Extends existing "haircuts" on municipal
Indirect Costs &	securities ("munis") pledged as collateral for Non-pooled
Benefits	qualified public depositories ("QPD") to Pooled QPDs and
(Monetized)	removes corporate notes as an eligible security type.
(Wolletized)	Direct Costs: No direct costs to the Treasury Board or Department
	of the Treasury. No QPDs currently pledge corporate notes, so there
	is no cost to eliminate them. Multiple factors impact how a Pooled
	QPD will respond to the need to increase SPDA collateral to cover a
	new requirement of a haircut (10% to 20%) on the market value of
	munis pledged as collateral, including analysis of the securities in
	their portfolios and the cost to use each type of security as
	collateral, and safekeeping fees associated with pledging the
	securities as collateral. Each Pooled QPD may approach these
	factors differently, making it difficult to associate a cost with this
	new requirement. Our analysis shows that very few Pooled QPDs
	will be impacted by this new requirement. As of 12/31/2022, only
	22 (37%) of the total 60 Pooled QPDs pledged munis. Using the
	December public deposit balances, one Pooled QPD pledging munis
	would have been impacted by the proposed haircuts and need to
	pledge additional collateral. Many QPDs over-collateralize and
	QPDs' public deposit balances change significantly from month to
	month. Accordingly, using data from the prior 5 quarters, several (<
	5) Pooled QPDs would have been impacted by this change at least
	once. The impact to pledged collateral can vary significantly month
	to month from no impact to requiring a pledge of additional
	collateral of over \$100 million. QPDs impacted by this change
	would either have to pledge additional munis as collateral (taking
	into account the new haircuts) or substitute other security types with
	lower or no haircuts in order to maintain sufficient collateral. The
	impact on Pooled QPDs of the proposed haircuts on munis as of
	12/31/2022 can be seen in Attachment 2 , and in Attachment 1 for
	the quarters $3/31/2021$ through $3/31/2022$.
	the quarters 5/51/2021 through 5/51/2022.
	Indirect Costs: None identified.
	Direct Benefits: Provides more security for public funds deposited at
	Pooled QPDs by either increasing the value of munis pledged as
	collateral or by pledging securities that are more easily valued and
	liquidated in the event of a QPD failure. Benefits Pooled QPDs by
	decreasing their contingent liability to cover public fund losses should
	other QPDs in the collateral pool fail.
	Indirect Benefits: None identified.

	 and account balances quar search feature. Direct Costs: Minimal cost o deposit accounts using Treas quarterly. One-time program security and usability of the security a	rs to verify their public deposit accounts terly through the Treasury's website of public depositors' staff time to verify public oury's Public Funds Accounts Search Feature ming costs for the Treasury to enhance search feature and create a log of accounts lic entities have performed the required
	Indirect Costs: None identifi	ed.
	deposits to the Treasury Boa public depositors, thus ensur secured in case of a QPD def verification by public deposi	banks are reporting all Virginia public rd by requiring quarterly verification by the ing all public funds accounts are properly fault. Currently, there is no outside tors that banks are reporting all Virginia ry Board, and that balances are correct.
	Indirect Benefits: None ident	tified.
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) \$2,063,441 (see Attachment 5, page 1)	(b) unable to monetize or quantify
(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$-2,063,441	

Benefits (Non- Monetized)	A. Collateral Changes None identified. B. Verification of accounts None identified
(5) Information Sources	Collateral pledging data from TRS SPDA database; Cost of Funds analysis performed by TRS Cash Management and Investments (CMI) Division; Estimate of programmer's time and cost/hour from TRS IT Division.

Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

Table 1b: Costs and	Benefits under the Status Qu	uo (No change to the regulation)
(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	 A. Collateral Changes Direct Costs: Public funds at loss if there is a default of a contingent liability for public another Pooled QPD. Indirect Costs: None identified Direct Benefits: Pooled QPD pledge additional or different collateralize public funds. Indirect Benefits: None identified Direct Costs: Treasury has a security and usability of its I create a log of accounts sear Regulation revisions become these programming changes Public entities not voluntarilied 	t Pooled QPDs are less protected from Pooled QPD; Pooled QPDs face higher c fund losses incurred by the default of fed. Ds that pledge munis may not have to at types of securities to adequately tified. lready begun reprogramming to enhance Public Funds Accounts Search feature and ched on its website. Whether or not the SPDA e effective, Treasury will be implementing to make the Search Feature more secure. y verifying their accounts are being reported oard run the risk of the loss of their public failure.
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a)\$7,107 (see Attachment 5, page 1)	(b)\$0

(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$-7,107

(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	 A. Collateral Changes - Costs None identified. B. Verification of accounts – Costs None identified. Benefits – None identified.
(5) Information Sources	Collateral pledging data from TRS SPDA database; Cost of Funds analysis performed by TRS Cash Management and Investments (CMI) Division; Estimate of programmer's time and cost/hour from TRS IT Division.

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

(1) Diment Pr	A Colletoral Changes: Tighter	n restrictions on types of munis that can be
(1) Direct &	pledged by Pooled QPDs.	restrictions on types of munis that can be
Indirect Costs &		allatanalı
Benefits	1. Eliminate munis as eligible c	
(Monetized)		AA / Aaa S&P / Moody's rating; and/or
		on munis (eliminate revenue and other bonds).
		significantly higher than proposed
	change, as any of these restrict	tions would apply to all 22 of the 60
	Pooled QPDs pledging munis	rather than the 5 or fewer Pooled QPDs
	· 1 0 0	posed change. See Attachment 3.
		posed enange. See Predemient e.
	Indirect Costs: None identifie	ed.
	Pooled QPDs by either increa or by pledging securities that event of a QPD failure, benef	re security for public funds deposited at using the value of munis pledged as collateral are more easily valued and liquidated in the fiting the public. Benefits Pooled QPDs by ability to cover public fund losses if a pooled
	Indirect Benefits: None ident	ified.
	B. Verification of Accounts:	No alternative approaches identified.
		1
(2) Present		
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	(a) least expensive alternative: \$4,588,391 (see Attachment 5, page 1)	(b) unable to monetize or quantify

(3) Net Monetized Benefit	\$-4,581,284
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	A. Collateral Changes None identified. B. Verification of accounts
(5) Information Sources	None identified Collateral pledging data from TRS SPDA database; Cost of Funds analysis performed by TRS Cash Management and Investments (CMI) Division; Estimate of programmer's time and cost/hour from TRS IT Division.

Impact on Local Partners

Use this chart to describe impacts on local partners. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 2. Impact on	Local Partners	
(1) Direct &	A. Collateral Changes	
Indirect Costs &		
Benefits	Direct Costs: None.	
	Indirect Costs: None.	
	Direct Benefits: Ensuring their public deposits are being properly collateralized and reported to the Treasury Board, thus ensuring recovery of funds in the event of a QPD failure.	
	Indirect Benefits: None identified.	
	B. Verification of accounts	
	Direct Costs: Immaterial, an additional cost of approximately ½ hour per calendar quarter for local government staff to verify public deposit bank accounts are being properly reported to the Treasury Board via Treasury's Public Funds Search Feature.	
	Indirect Costs: None.	
	Direct Benefits: Ensuring their public deposits are being properly collateralized and reported to the Treasury Board, thus ensuring recovery of funds in the event of a QPD failure.	
	Indirect Benefits: None identified.	
l	1	

 Table 2: Impact on Local Partners

(Monetized)		
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a) \$0	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b) unable to monetize or quantify
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)	None identified.	
(4) Assistance	Requested input from local partners during Phase II of the Regulations revision process	
(5) Information Sources		

Impacts on Families

Use this chart to describe impacts on families. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

Table 3: Impact on Families

(1) D' (0)		
(1) Direct &	A. and B.	
Indirect Costs &	Direct Costs: None.	
Benefits		
(Monetized)	Indirect Costs: None.	
	Direct Benefits: None.	
	Indirect Benefits: Public entities can to constituents without having to add funds should a QPD default.	continue to provide essential services lress the impact of the loss of public
(2) Present		
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits
	Direct & Indirect Costs (a) n/a	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b) n/a
Monetized Values	(a) n/a	
Monetized Values (3) Other Costs &		
Monetized Values	(a) n/a	

(4) Information Sources	n/a

Impacts on Small Businesses

Use this chart to describe impacts on small businesses. See Part 8 of the ORM Cost Impact Analysis Guidance for additional guidance.

(1) Direct &	A. Collateral Changes					
Indirect Costs &	Direct Costs: Some Pooled QPDs (20 of 60) would meet the					
Benefits	definition of a small business and could possibly be affected by the					
(Monetized)	proposed change; two would have been affected in Table 1a. See					
	Attachment 4.					
	Indirect Costs: None.					
	Direct Benefits: None.					
	Indirect Benefits: None.					
	B. Verification of Accounts					
	Direct Costs: None.					
	Indirect Costs: None.					
	Direct Benefits: None.					
	Indirect Benefits: None.					
(2) Present						
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits				
	(a) \$62,973 [included in Table 1a(2)(a) total]; see Attachment 5, page 2	(b) unable to monetize or quantify				
(3) Other Costs &	None identified.					
Benefits (Non-						
Monetized)						
(4) Alternatives	n/a					
(5) Information Sources	Collateral pledging data from TRS SPDA database; Cost of Funds analysis performed by TRS Cash Management and Investments (CMI) Division; Estimate					
Sources	of programmer's time and cost/hour from TRS IT Division.					

Table 4: Impact on Small Businesses

Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements

For each individual VAC Chapter amended, repealed, or promulgated by this regulatory action, list (a) the initial requirement count, (b) the count of requirements that this regulatory package is adding, (c) the count of requirements that this regulatory package is reducing, (d) the net change in the number of requirements. This count should be based upon the text as written when this stage was presented for executive branch review. Five rows have been provided, add or delete rows as needed.

		Number of Requirements			
Chapter number	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Net Change	
1VAC75-20	91	16	26	-10	
TOTAL	91	16	26	-10	

Table 5: Total Number of Requirements